

JNITED SES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTO |)R | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|----|---------------------|
| 09/976 414 | 06/16/97 | WICKBOLDT | F | 1110092 |

MM31/0915

HENRY P SARTORIO DEPUTY LABORATORY COUNSEL FOR PATENTS LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY P O BOX 808 L 703 LIVERMORE CA 94551 EXAMINER CHRISTIANSON.K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/15/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

Wickboldt et al.

Examiner,

Application No.

Keith Christianson

08/876,414

Group Art Unit 2813



| THE F | E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| a) | a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| | b) (X) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later that rejection. | n six months from the date of the final | | | |
| dat | Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set for | and also the date for the purposes of nursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be | | | |
| ☐ Ap | Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and | 37 CFR 1.192(a). (or within any | | | |
| Applie but is | plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 1, 1998</u> has been consit is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: | idered with the following effect, | | | |
| X Th | The proposed amendment(s): | | | | |
| | will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. | | | | |
| X | 🛛 will not be entered because: | | | | |
| | $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search | . (See note below). | | | |
| | they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). | | | | |
| | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by ma issues for appeal. | | | | |
| | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of | | | | |
| | NOTE: <u>see attached sheet</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | |
| □ N s | Newly proposed or amended claims wo separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. | ould be allowable if submitted in a | | | |
| | The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does for allowance because: | NOT place the application in condition | | | |
| - | | | | | |
| tl | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | |
| X F | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): | | | | |
| C | Claims allowed: | | | | |
| | Claims objected to: | | | | |
| | Claims rejected: 1-7 and 9-20 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| □ r | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | | | | |
| | Other | | | | |
| | - Other | Teal J. R. | | | |
| _ |) Lace Charles 9/3/99 | Charles Bowers | | | |

Art Unit: 2813

Comments on the Request for Reconsideration

The applicant's amendment of claim 1 replacing a minimum dose range with a fixed dose, and applicant's amendment of claim 9 replacing a lower limit to a dose rate with a fixed rate both are new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.

)/_

KC

September 13, 1998